I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service, with sufficient postage, as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents POBox 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

June 4, 2003

Date of Deposit

Marc V. Richards, Reg. No. 37,921

Name of applicant, assignee or

Registere Representative

Date of Signature



**PATENT** 

Atty. Case No. 10786/5

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Scott A. IDLAS	)
Serial No. 09/652,591	) Examiner: M. Jackson
Filed: August 30, 2000	) Art Unit: 1773
For: IRRADIATED BIAXIALLY ORIENTED FILM	) )

## SECOND AMENDMENT

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Arlington, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Stop AF
hissioner for Patents
ox 1450
ton, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed December 4, 2002 (Paper No. 10). The Applicant respectfully requests entry of the below amendments and new

Appln. No. 09/652,591 Second Amendment

claims, and reconsideration of the objections and rejections in view of these amendments and the following remarks. As a result of the amendments and new claims, Claims 1-46 and 48-100 are pending. Claim 47 has been canceled, claim 94 has been amended and new claim 100 added.

In addition, Applicant reports that on January 29, 2003, the undersigned attorney had a telephone conversation with Examiner Jackson to discuss the impropriety of the finality of the Office Action mailed December 4, 2002, under MPEP 706.07(a). The undersigned attorney pointed out that in the Office Action the Examiner included a rejection based on newly cited art, Tsukamoto et al. (US 6,063,462), that was neither necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims nor based on information submitted in an information disclosure statement. Examiner Jackson agreed that the Office Action was improperly made final and that the finality would be withdrawn upon filing of an Amendment or Response. Examiner Jackson also advised the undersigned to reference this conversation in the response to the Office Action. Applicants have relied upon the Examiner's statement in the timing of the filing of this response.

To the extent that the Examiner is now unable to withdraw the finality of the rejections made in the last Office Action, applicant hereby requests continued examination under 37 CFR § 1.114. Applicant authorizes the Commissioner to charge the fee under 37 CFR § 1.17(e) to Deposit Account No. 23-1925. A duplicate copy of this paper is attached.